

**ANNEX A**  
**GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD**  
**Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCI) Self-Assessment Form**

Name of Agency: COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, Regional Office VIII  
 Date of Self Assessment: March 31, 2020

Name of Evaluator: Marcelo M. Uy  
 Position: Chief Administrative Officer

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be included in the Evaluation)
<b>Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information</b>					
7.a	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Fully Compliant	3.00		Identify specific procurement-related portion in the agency website and specific website links
7.b	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Substantially Compliant	2.00		Copy of PMR and received copy that it was submitted to GPPB
		Average II			
<b>PILAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES</b>					
<b>Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes</b>					
8.a	Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	100.00%	3.00		APP (including Supplemental amendments, if any) and PMRs
8.b	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	100.00%	3.00		APP (including Supplemental amendments, if any) and PMRs
8.c	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Substantially Compliant	2.00		Agency Procedures/Systems for the conduct of needs analysis or market research, monitoring of timely delivery of goods, works, or services  Contracts with amendments and variations to order amount to 10% or less
<b>Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timelines</b>					
9.a	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	n/a	n/a		PMRs
9.b	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects	0.00%	0.00		PMRs
9.c	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services	n/a	n/a		PMRs
<b>Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants</b>					
10.a	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis	Fully Compliant	3.00		Samples of forms used to evaluating procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel
10.b	Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program	0.00%	0.00		Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules of actual training conducted
10.c	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity	Compliant	3.00		Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders
<b>Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records</b>					
11.a	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours) Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance.
11.b	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records should be no more than two hours
<b>Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures</b>					
12.a	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection; CPES evaluation forms

**ANNEX A**  
**GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD**  
**Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form**

Name of Agency: COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, Regional Office VIII  
 Date of Self Assessment: March 31, 2020

Name of Evaluator: Marcelo M. Uy  
 Position: Chief Administrative Officer

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
12.b	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	On or before 30 days	3.00		Ask Finance or Accounting Head of Agency for average period for the release of payments for procurement contracts
		Average III			

ANNEX A  
**GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD**  
**Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form**

Name of Agency: COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, Regional Office VIII  
 Date of Self Assessment: March 31, 2020


Name of Evaluator: Marcelo M. Uy  
 Position: Chief Administrative Officer

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
<b>PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM</b>					
<b>Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding</b>					
13.a	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of Invitation Letters to CSOs and professional associations and COA (List and average number of CSOs and PAs invited shall be noted.)
<b>Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities</b>					
14.a	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits	Not Compliant	0.00		Verify copy of Order or show actual organizational chart showing IAU, audit reports, action plans and IAU recommendations
14.b	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Above 90-100% compliance	3.00		Verify COA Annual Audit Report on Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations
<b>Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints</b>					
15.a	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of BAC resolutions on Motion for Reconsiderations, Protests and Complaints; Office Orders adopting measures to address procurement-related complaints
<b>Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement</b>					
16.a	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify documentation of anti-corruption program
			<b>Average IV</b>	<b>2.40</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL (Average I + Average II + Average III + Average IV / 4)</b>				<b>2.22</b>	

**Summary of APCPI Scores by Pillar**

APCPI Pillars	Ideal Rating	Agency Rating
I Legislative and Regulatory Framework	3.00	1.73
II Agency Insitutional Framework and Management Capacity	3.00	2.40
III Procurement Operations and Market Practices	3.00	2.36
IV Integrity and Transparency of Agency Procurement Systems	3.00	2.40
<b>Total (Pillar I+Pillar II+Pillar III+PillarIV)/4</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>2.22</b>

**Agency Rating**



**ANNEX B  
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD  
CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT**

Name of Agency: CHEDRUVIII

Period Covered: CY 2019

	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11	Column 12	Column 13	Column 14
<b>1. Public Bidding*</b>														
1.1 Goods														
1.2 Works														
1.3 Consulting Services														
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>1,992,419.23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,992,419.23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>2. Alternative Modes</b>														
2.1 Shopping (52.1 a above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.1.2 Shopping (52.1 b above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.1.3 Other Shopping	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.2 Direct Contracting (above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.2.1 Direct Contracting (above 50K)	101,039.79	24	24	101,039.79										
2.2.2 Direct Contracting (50K or less)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.3 Repeat Order (above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.3.1 Repeat Order (50K or less)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.4 Limited Source Bidding	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.5 Negotiation (Common Use Supplies)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.5.1 Negotiation (Recognized Government Printers)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.5.2 Negotiation (FRS 53.1)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.5.3 Negotiation (TVR 53.1)	1,804,894.72	14	14	1,804,894.72										
2.5.4 Negotiation (SVP 53.2 above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
2.5.5 Other Negotiated Procurement (Others above 50K)	0.00	0	0	0.00										
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>1,262,260.95</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>1,262,260.95</b>										
<b>3. Foreign Funded Procurement**</b>														
3.1 Public-Bid	3,168,235.46	172	172	3,168,235.46										
3.2 Alternative Modes	0.00	0	0	0.00										
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>										
<b>4. Others, specify:</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>										
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5,160,654.69</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>5,160,654.69</b>										

\* Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type

\*\* All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peso will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted

MA CRISTINA  SERRAHL G. CULABAN  
Administrative Assistant III

MARCELO M. UY   
Chief Administrative Officer

DR. GEORGE M. COLORADO   
Director IV



**ANNEX B  
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD  
CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT**

Name of Agency: **CHEDROXIII**

Period Covered: **CY 2019**

	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11	Column 12	Column 13	Column 14
<b>1. Public Bidding**</b>														
1.1. Goods		1,992,419.23	1	1	1,992,419.23		5	4	1	1	1	0		0
1.2. Works														
1.3. Consulting Services														
<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>1,992,419.23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,992,419.23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>2. Alternative Modes</b>														
2.1.1 Shopping (S2.1 above 50K)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.1.2 Shopping (S2.1 below 50K)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.1.3 Other Shopping		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.2.1 Direct Contracting (above 50K)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.2.2 Direct Contracting (50K or less)		101,039.79	24	24	101,039.79									
2.3.1 Repeat Order (above 50K)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.3.2 Repeat Order (50K or less)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.4. Limited Source Bidding		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.5.1 Negotiation (Common-Use Supplies)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.5.2 Negotiation (Recognized Government Printers)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.5.3 Negotiation (TFB 53.1)		1,804,934.72	14	14	1,804,934.72									
2.5.4 Negotiation (SVP 53.9 above 50K)		0.00	0	0	0.00									
2.5.5 Other Negotiated Procurement (Others above 50K)		1,262,760.95	134	134	1,262,760.95									
<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>3,168,235.46</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>3,168,235.46</b>				<b>10</b>		<b>6</b>			
<b>3. Foreign Funded Procurement**</b>														
3.1. Public-Bid		0.00	0	0	0.00									
3.2. Alternative Modes		0.00	0	0	0.00									
<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>0.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>									
<b>4. Others, specify:</b>		0.00	0	0	0.00									
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>5,160,654.69</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>5,160,654.69</b>									

\* Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type  
 \*\* All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peso will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted

MA CRISTINA SHERYL GULLABAN  
 Administrative Assistant III

MARCELO M. UY  
 Chief Administrative Officer

DR. GEORGE M. COLORADO  
 Director IV



No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
<b>PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK</b>					
<b>Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement</b>					
1	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Below 70.00%	Between 70.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Between 91.00-100%
2	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00-39.99%	Between 40.00-50.00%	Above 50.00%
<b>Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement</b>					
3	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 7.00%	Between 6.00-7.00 %	Between 4.00-5.99 %	Below 4.00%
4	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 15.00%	Between 11.00-15.00%	Between 6.00-10.99%	Below 6.00%
5	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
6	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
7	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
8	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
<b>Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process</b>					
9	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	Below 3.00	3.00-3.99	4.00-5.99	6.00 and above
10	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	Below 2.00	2.00-2.99	3.00-4.99	5.00 and above
11	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	Below 1.00	1.00-1.99	2.00-2.99	3.00 and above
12	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
13	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY</b>					
<b>Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations</b>					
14	Creation of Bids and Awards Committees(s)	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
15	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation</b>					
16	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Not Compliant			Compliant
17	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
18	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Not Compliant			Compliant
<b>Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System</b>					
19	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 70.99%	Between 71.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Above 91.00%
20	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00-50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
21	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00-50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
<b>Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information</b>					
22	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
23	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES</b>					
<b>Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes</b>					
24	Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 40.00% or above 100.00%	Between 40.00-60.99%	Between 61.00%-80.00%	Above 80.00%
25	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00-92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%
26	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes</b>					
27	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
28	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
29	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
<b>Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants</b>					
30	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
31	Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program	Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 76-90% of staff trained	Between 91.00-100% Trained
32	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity	Not Compliant			Compliant
<b>Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records</b>					
33	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
34	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures</b>					
35	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
36	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	After 45 days	Between 38-45 days	Between 31-37 days	On or before 30 days
<b>PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM</b>					
<b>Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding</b>					
37	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities</b>					
38	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
39	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Below 60% compliance	Between 61-70.99% compliance	Between 71-89.99% compliance	Above 90-100% compliance
<b>Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints</b>					
40	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
<b>Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement</b>					
41	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant

**ANNEX A**  
**GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD**  
**Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form**

Name of Agency: COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, Regional Office VIII  
 Date of Self Assessment: March 31, 2020

Name of Evaluator: Marcelo M. Uy  
 Position: Chief Administrative Officer

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be included in the Evaluation)
<b>PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK</b>					
<b>Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement</b>					
1.a	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	38.61%	0.00		PMRs
1.b	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	0.58%	0.00		PMRs
<b>Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement</b>					
2.a	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.b	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	59.43%	0.00		PMRs
2.c	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	1.96%	2.00		PMRs
2.d	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.e	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	n/a	n/a		Procurement documents relative to conduct of Repeat Order
2.f	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	n/a	n/a		Procurement documents relative to conduct of Limited Source Bidding
<b>Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process</b>					
3.a	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	5.00	2.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3.b	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	4.00	2.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
3.c	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	1.00	1.00		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
3.d	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3.e	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Cost Benefit Analysis, Work Plans, Technical Specifications Included in bidding documents
		<b>Average I</b>			
<b>PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY</b>					
<b>Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations</b>					
4.a	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
4.b	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Substantially Compliant	2.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
<b>Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation</b>					
5.a	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Compliant	3.00		Copy of APP and its supplements (if any)
5.b	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Fully Compliant	3.00		APP, APP-CSE, PMR
5.c	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Compliant	3.00		ITBs and/or RFQs clearly indicate the use of green technical specifications for the procurement activity
<b>Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System</b>					
6.a	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	73.33%	1.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
6.b	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
6.c	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	42.86%	1.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records